UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,712	07/20/2006	Masahiro Yasumi	MAT-8867US	8699
52473 RATNERPRES	7590 10/19/200 STIA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980		ROSENAU, DEREK JOHN		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/586,712	YASUMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	DEREK J. ROSENAU	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		5.1.66p6/146/166 444.666				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	ugust 2009					
	action is non-final.					
'=	/					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/586,712 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (WO 2003/052840) in view of Watanabe et al. (US 6153898) and Shimada et al. (US 5802686).
- 3. With respect to claim 1, Fujii et al. discloses an angular velocity sensor (Fig 15) comprising: a substrate (item 500) made of single crystal silicon (Paragraph 217) and having a tuning fork shape (Fig 15), the substrate including a plurality of arms extending parallel with each other (Fig 15), and a joint section for connecting respecting ends of the arms with each other (Fig 15); a first adhesion layer (Fig 1, item 12) provided on the substrate (Fig 1), the first adhesion layer containing titanium (Paragraph 71); a first electrode layer (item 503) provided on the first adhesion layer (Fig 16), the first electrode containing at least one of titanium and titanium oxide (Paragraph 19); an orientation control layer (item 504) provided on the first electrode layer (Fig 16) a piezoelectric layer (item 505) provided on the orientation control layer (Fig 16).

Fujii et al. does not disclose expressly a barrier layer provided on each of the plurality of arms of the substrate, the barrier layer containing silicon oxide; the first

adhesion layer being provided on the barrier layer, or a second adhesion layer provided on the piezoelectric layer with the second electrode being formed on the second adhesion layer.

Page 3

Watanabe et al. teaches a piezoelectric device including a barrier layer (item 12) provided on the substrate (Fig 1), the barrier layer containing silicon oxide (column 3, lines 51-60); the adhesion layer (item 13) being formed on the barrier layer (Fig 1).

Shimada et al. teaches a piezoelectric device in which an adhesion layer is provided between the piezoelectric layer and the top electrode layer (column 7, lines 40-50); therefore, Shimada et al. discloses a second adhesion layer provided on a piezoelectric layer and a second electrode provided on the second adhesion layer.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the barrier layer of Watanabe et al. and the second adhesion layer of Shimada et al. with the angular velocity sensor of Fujii et al. for the benefits of preventing diffusion (column 3, lines 51-60 of Watanabe et al.) and to improve the bond between the piezoelectric layer and the top electrode (column 7, lines 40-50 of Shimada et al.).

- 4. With respect to claim 2, the combination of Fujii et al., Watanabe et al., and Shimada et al. discloses the angular velocity sensor of claim 1. Fujii et al. discloses that the orientation control layer comprises dielectric oxide material containing Pb and Ti (Paragraph 73)
- 5. With respect to claim 3, the combination of Fujii et al., Watanabe et al., and Shimada et al. discloses the angular velocity sensor of claim 1. Fujii et al. discloses that

Art Unit: 2837

the orientation control layer comprises lead titanate containing at least one of La and Mg (Paragraph 73).

6. With respect to claim 4, the combination of Fujii et al., Watanabe et al., and Shimada et al. discloses the angular velocity sensor of claim 1. Fujii et al. discloses that the piezoelectric layer comprises lead zirconate titanate (Paragraph 230).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEREK J. ROSENAU whose telephone number is (571)272-8932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson can be reached on (571) 272-2227. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,712 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Derek J Rosenau/ Examiner, Art Unit 2837 /Walter Benson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2837